

# Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) implementation and support in OpenBSD

Peter Hessler  
phessler@openbsd.org

OpenBSD

10 June, 2016

- normally, you monitor the link state
- ...not always reliable
- sometimes there are active devices between you and your neighbor
- ...ixp switches
- ...long reach connect

# what is bfd?

- bidirectional forwarding detection (RFC 5880)
  - detecting faults between two forwarding devices
  - kinda like gre-keepalives
  - protocol independent
  - ...commonly used with BGP
- bfd for ipv4 and ipv6 (single hop) (RFC 5881)
  - encapsulates bfd in a normal udp packet

## what is bfd?

- bgp timers are generally 90 seconds
- how much traffic is that when you are sending 10Gbps?
- .... 100Gbps?

## what is bfd?

- bgp timers are generally 90 seconds
- how much traffic is that when you are sending 10Gbps?
- .... 100Gbps?
- fastest possible is 3 seconds

# what is bfd?

- found on big iron routers
- specs use microseconds!
- ( $\mu$ s not ms)
- ...implementation detail, we won't support more often than 50ms

- 'async/active' send keep alives
- ...bog standard
- 'demand' monitor traffic counters over the actual interface
- ...intimate knowledge of the dataplane counters
- ...if there isn't traffic within that timeframe, send a keepalive

## specs can be stupid

RFC 5881 - BFD for IPv4 and IPv6 (Single Hop)

### *4. Encapsulation*

BFD Control packets **MUST** be transmitted in UDP packets with destination port 3784, within an IPv4 or IPv6 packet. The **source port MUST be in the range 49152 through 65535**. The same UDP source port number **MUST** be used for all BFD Control packets associated with a particular session. **The source port number SHOULD be unique among all BFD sessions on the system**. If more than 16384 BFD sessions are simultaneously active, UDP source port numbers **MAY** be reused on multiple sessions, but **the number of distinct uses of the same UDP source port number SHOULD be minimized**. An implementation **MAY** use the UDP port source number to aid in demultiplexing incoming BFD Control packets, but **ultimately the mechanisms in [BFD] MUST be used to demultiplex incoming packets to the proper session**.



RFC 5880 - Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)

## 4.4. *Keyed SHA1 and Meticulous Keyed SHA1 Authentication Section Format*

### Sequence Number

The sequence number for this packet. For Keyed SHA1 Authentication, this value is incremented occasionally. **For Meticulous Keyed SHA1 Authentication, this value is incremented for each successive packet transmitted for a session.** This provides protection against replay attacks.

- minimal implementation (all of the MUSTs)
- can successfully negotiate against a Juniper MX-80 router
- basic configuration options are available
- basic logging
- route messages
- pf rules

- part of the interface
- ...that was an initial idea, but turned out to be kinda dumb
- ...hard to adjust the interface state and still packets over it
- ...not to mention, more than one BFD peer on an interface
- ...almost the definition of the wrong place

- partially moved to route
- ...we monitor nexthop, this makes sense
- difficult to adjust route UP/DOWN state for directly connected hosts
- ...punt for now
- special bfd flags (F/f)
- special route messages

- panics in `soreceive()` after 8 hours!?!
- weirdness happens if I re-configure BFD
- only one peer at a time

## Simple setup

```
$ ifconfig em1
em1: flags=408843<UP,BROADCAST,...> mtu 1500
    lladdr 00:25:90:0a:ea:cc
    index 2 priority 0
    bfd bfdsrc 203.0.113.1 bfddst 203.0.113.9
    bfd mode active min 1000ms rx 1000ms mult 3
    media: Ethernet autoselect (1000baseT full-duplex)
    status: active
    inet 203.0.113.1/24 ... broadcast 203.0.113.255
```

## Simple setup

```
$ route -n show -inet
```

```
Routing tables
```

```
Internet:
```

Destination	Gateway	Flags	Prio	Iface
default	172.16.255.1	UGS	8	em2
224/4	127.0.0.1	URS	8	lo0
127/8	127.0.0.1	UGRS	8	lo0
127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	UH1	1	lo0
172.16.255/24	172.16.255.39	UC	4	em2
172.16.255.1	00:25:90:7f:7c:ac	UHLc	4	em2
172.16.255.39	00:25:90:0a:ea:cd	UHL1	1	em2
172.16.255.255	172.16.255.39	UHb	1	em2
192.0.2.1	192.0.2.1	UH1	1	lo1
203.0.113/24	203.0.113.1	UC	4	em1
203.0.113.1	00:25:90:0a:ea:cc	UHL1	1	em1
203.0.113.9	3c:8a:b0:8c:81:48	UHLcF	4	em1

## Simple setup

```
cli> show bfd session extensive
```

Address	State	Interface	Detect Time	Transmit Interval	Mult
203.0.113.1	Up	xe-0/0/0.0	3.000	1.000	3

Client Static, TX interval 1.000, RX interval 1.000  
Session up time 01:24:50, previous down time 00:00:19  
Local diagnostic CtlExpire, remote diagnostic None  
Remote state Up, version 1  
Min async interval 1.000, min slow interval 1.000  
Adaptive async TX interval 1.000, RX interval 1.000  
Local min TX interval 1.000, min RX 1.000, mult 3  
Remote min TX interval 1.000, min RX 1.000, mult 3  
Local discriminator 16, remote discriminator 2669020539  
Echo mode disabled/inactive Session ID: 0x1

```
1 sessions, 1 clients
```

```
Cumulative transmit rate 1.0 pps, cumulative recv rate 1.0 pps
```



## future plans

- fix ze bugs
- migrate from interface subsystem to route subsystem
- much better UI/UX
- multiple peers over the same interface
- "encryption" support
- actual manipulation of route UP/DOWN state

- integrated knowledge in bgpd, ospfd, eigrpd, etc
- switchd, vxlan, etc
- draft-ymbk-idr-rs-bfd

# Questions?

